Case 3:04-cv-30056-MAP MAS-20030312

Document 3

Filed 04/05/2004

Page 1 of 6

03/31/2004 12:45 PM

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR COURT
Case Summary
Civil Docket

FILED CLERK'S OF FICE

HDCV2004-00144 Plasse v Tyco Electronics

MAR-5 A P: 20

File Date	02/06/2004	Status	Disposed: transfered to other court (dtrans)					
Status Date	03/31/2004	Session	A - Civil A - CtRm 6		.S. DISTRICT COURT			
Origin	1	Case Type	B99 - Misc tort		MSTRICT OF MASE			
Lead Case		Track	F	<i>C</i> 4	CV30056-M2N			
Service	05/06/2004	Answer	07/05/2004	Rule12/19/20	07/05/2004			
Rule 15	07/05/2004	Discovery	12/02/2004	Rule 56	01/01/2005			
Final PTC	01/31/2005	Disposition	04/01/2005	Jury Trial	Yes			

ΡI	ai	'n	ti	ff

liebeldo

James M Plasse Active 02/06/2004

CHARLES TO THE PARTIES TO THE PARTIE

Private Counsel 069660 Maurice M Cahillane Egan Flanagan & Cohen 67 Market Street PO Box 9035

Springfield, MA 01102-9035 Phone: 413-737-0260 Fax: 413-737-0121 Active 02/06/2004 Notify

Defendant

Tyco Electronics

Service pending 02/06/2004

Private Counsel 632544

Jeffrey D Clements Clements & Clements, LLP 50 Federal Street

Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617-451-1803

Fax:

Active 03/31/2004 Notify

Date	Paper	Text	
02/06/2004	1.0	Complaint & civil action cover sheet filed	
02/06/2004		Origin 1, Type B99, Track F.	
03/23/2004		Pleading, Tyco Electronics Corporation's answer to complaint	
		certified by U.S. District Court and Notice of Removal, returned to	
		Jeffrey D. Clements Esq.: will send in certified copy of notice of	
		removal to U.S. District Court.	
03/31/2004	2.0	Deft's. notice of removal to U.S. District Court.	
03/31/2004		Case REMOVED this date to US District Court of Massachusetts	

& Lrue copy.

Attests

DEPUTY Assistant Clerk

-	Case 3:04-cv-300 CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET		Ocument Inal Court PERIOR COL County:	ot Massachu: JRT DEPART	04/05/200 setts MEN	4 Page	2 of 6 Document	0mber 4	* =-
	PLAINTIFF(S) James M. Plas	se		DEFENDA	NT(S)	Tyco Elec	ctronics		
	ATTORNEY, FIRM NAME, ADDRES Maurice M. Cahillane; Ega: 67 Market St., Springfield Board of Bar Overseers number:	n. Flanagan	& Cohen	ATTORNEY					· <u></u>
	Place an x in one box only: [x] 1. F01 Original Complaint [] 2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. c. 2 (Before trial) [] 3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct. C	31, s.104	[] 4.1	rack designal -04 District C -05 Reactiva Order (Mas E10 Summar	ourt Appea ted after re	script,reliet sov	97 &104 (A from judgn	ifter trial) nent/	(X) (X) (X)
				ESIGNATION		erse side)	E?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			(F)		X) Yes	() No)		
	The following is a full, itemized money damages. For this form	and detailed n, disregard d	l statemen louble or ti	t of the fact eble dama	ts on whice ge claims	ch plaintif s; indicate	f relies to e single d	deterr amage	nire
E	Reasonably anticipated los Other documented items of	nd compensa nages to date ture medical st wages of damages (of the injury, included the injury, included	ation to da and hospit and hospit describe)	te of the second extension of the lost with	ent of iniu	2004	Subtota ibe) ation.	\$.50 \$ \$	ΞR
P	rovide a detailed description of	(Attach addi	itional she	<u>CLAIMS</u> ets as nece	essary)	T			
PL CC	EASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBE DURT DEPARTMENT	R. NAME AND	COUNTY, A	NY RELATE(D ACTION	PENDING	OTAL IN THE SU	\$ JPERIOF	
ab dis Sig	hereby certify that I have comp niform Rules on Dispute Resolu- out court-connected dispute re sadvantages of the various met gnature of Attorney of Record	solution serv	ices and d	iscuss with	it i providi i them the	e my clie: e advanta	nts with it laes and	nforma	
	Attests ()	. 0 1 0	1						·

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

HAMPDEN, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
OF THE TRIAL COURT
CIVIL ACTION NO.

JAMES M. PLASSE,
Plaintiff

Vs.

COMPLAINT

TYCO ELECTRONICS,
Defendant

- The plaintiff, James M. Plasse, is a natural person residing at 19 Hillary Lane,
 Westfield, Hampden County.
- 2. The defendant, Tyco Electronics, is a Pennsylvania corporation with a principal place of business at 2901 Fulling Mill Road, Middletown, Pennsylvania and transacts business in the Commonwealth.
- 3. In August of 2000, the plaintiff became employed by Tyco Electronics's Printed Circuit Group as Controller. During his employment, he performed his job appropriately at all times.
- 4. While employed as Controller, the plaintiff complained to his supervisor, Fotos, about practices used by Tyco Electronics that were deceptive and not in keeping with generally accepted accounting principles. These included double billing on items that had been returned by customers, counting as sales items that had been returned and billing items before they had been shipped. These actions had the effect of inflating company financial reports, which would inevitably have the effect

No. NV. S.
Fres Port - S. 240 00 Cash - Crest
Surcharge Paid - V. 15 Cash - Crest
Security Free - Paid 130 Cash Chack
Free Paid 130 Cash Chack
Free Paid 130 Cash Chack

- of creating deceptive financial statements distributed to the public.
- 5. Subsequent to his complaints, the plaintiff was terminated on February 25, 2002 on false grounds that he had improperly disseminated information to employees. These actions were taken against the plaintiff by Fotos and Soder in retaliation for his complaints regarding the company's improper accounting practices.
- 6. At the time of the plaintiff's termination, Fotos was the Global Controller and Soder was the Executive Vice President of Tyco Electronics's Printed Circuit Group.
- 7. During the plaintiff's employment, Tyco Electronics maintained and disseminated to employees a policy entitled "Tyco Guide to Ethical Conduct". That policy promised employees that if they reported any inappropriate or unethical conduct within Tyco Electronics, they would be protected from retaliation. The policy also made specific reference to generally accepted accounting principles.

COUNT I

Wrongful Termination - v. Tyco Electronics

- 8. The plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-9.
- 9. The plaintiff was terminated in retaliation for his insistence that Tyco Electronics do what the law required in retaliation for his reporting of internal company actions which violated the law and, therefore, in violation of public policy.

- a. That judgment be entered in his favor.
- b. That he be awarded all his actual damages.
- c. That he be awarded interest, costs and attorney's fees.
- d. That the Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just.

COUNT II

Promissory Estoppel - v. Tyco Electronics

- 10. The plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-11.
- 11. By its actions, Tyco Electronics promised employees that it would not retaliate if they reported unethical or illegal conduct within the Company. The plaintiff relied on this promise and was terminated in violation of it.

Wherefore, the plaintiff prays:

- a. That judgment be entered in his favor.
- b. That he be awarded his actual damages for his reliance on the defendant's promise.
- c. That he be awarded interest, costs and attorney's fees.
- d. That the Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

THE PLAINTIFF, JAMES M. PLASSE By his attorney:

Dated: February 6, 2004

Maurice M. Cahillane, Esq. Egan, Flanagan and Cohen, P.C. 67 Market Street - P.O. Box 9035 Springfield, MA 01102-9035

Telephone: (413) 737-0260 Fax: (413) 737-0121

BBO# 069660

11094-030300\55431.wpd

A true copy.

teriation (lank